

1 July 1965

25X1A TDY Report - [REDACTED] - Trip #21 21-25 June 1965

I. ITINERARY

21 June 1965

22

23

24

25

25X1A

II. PROJECTS

A. FOURTH WING ADDITION & WALL REPAIR. [REDACTED]

25X1A

1. Met with [REDACTED] at site on 22-23-24 June re construction details and proposed construction techniques for perimeter wall posts. Approved proposed change which basically provides for a construction joint in the posts at grade level, whereas design plan intended the posts to be one cast-in-place element. Design scheme could not be followed because posts were not removed as previously planned. New scheme provides for grade beams (which support block wall panels) being keyed in to lower half of new post. Then, upper post sections (made from existing posts) are grouted into joint formed in lower post followed by new masonry block panels or part panels as required, depending upon condition of each panel.

25X1A

2. Inspected frame and trusses for new 4th wing, found work and materials very satisfactory. Contractor awaiting new shipment of wood sheathing from supplier; previous shipment unsatisfactory due to 1/2" board thickness where 3/4" thick boards required. [REDACTED] had previously rejected the trusses already fabricated and in-place due to insufficient thickness of lumber from supplier. New trusses had lumber measuring 2" to 2 1/8" in thickness and full 6" in width in the case of 2" x 6" members. The above cases backs up my belief that [REDACTED] will produce us a first class job, whereas any other company probably would not, without continued high quality inspection. [REDACTED] has been inspecting the job for the [REDACTED] but only on a part time basis. He does not claim to have any degree of experience as an inspector, and has quite a number of jobs to cover.

25X1A

25X1C

25X1A

- 2 -

3. Contractor will reach a point within the next two weeks where he will stop all work and await receipt of U.S. source materials. New wall should be nearly complete and 4th wing ready for corrugated siding; roofing will have been installed. This gap in construction was further aggravated by [redacted] efforts to procure off-shore items from [redacted] source. [redacted] has recently replaced his order through [redacted]. Could be 60-day delay in construction, partially a result of higher priority shipping to other FE areas. This shut-down at the site will afford a breathing spell for [redacted] however which may be beneficial but will result in an automatic extension of time and a new completion date past the 28 Sept. 65 date in the contract. I don't think L-Branch will be particularly disturbed by this prospect.

4. Contractor has agreed to install the new base-board heaters being furnished at no additional cost. Four 1250 watt units are being shipped from [redacted] and 3 800-1000 watt units have been ordered from [redacted]. Gave contractor marked up drawing where junction boxes should be placed for these heaters.

5. Although desired, it was found inadvisable to move window locations due to wall framing plan to support roof trusses on 4 foot centers.

B. [redacted] FACILITY AIR CONDITIONING

Inspected existing window type units and placement, and electrical service. Advised Hal 11 new units being shipped from [redacted] would satisfy their requirements if properly installed to preclude possibility of insufficient condenser cooling. Pointed out need to reconvert 8 outlets to 110V service. Recommended units be installed in or near present unit locations in the exterior wall, and that the exterior portion should be shaded for maximum efficiency and protection. Also reminded Hal, units need filters cleaned on regular schedule, about 3 to 4 weeks depending upon dust conditions locally. As a result of my observations re the electrical fixtures, switches, etc. advised [redacted] I thought better maintenance was advisable. He agreed he would take necessary action this matter. Upon return to [redacted], found that units and wood frames had been shipped. Units are 12000 BTU/Hr 110V Fedders.

- 3 -

25X1A
25X1A

C. [REDACTED] HOUSING

[REDACTED] asked me to inspect two units presently used as bachelor quarters which will undoubtedly have to be assigned to families in the near future. His question had to do with adequacy as family housing since the Station owns them, and since personnel with dependents will be relieving bachelor types. Inspected two nearly identical units, measured spaces and noted obvious deficiencies if they were to be assigned for family occupancy. In later discussions in COS' Office 25 June, I stated that although these units are basically three bedroom ramblers in type, the floor area inside is approximately 10,400 sq ft which would probably be satisfactory for family use if no more than two children families are assigned. Even though floor plan is fairly modern, floor area, and one bath limits number of people (American) who could live happily in it. Even so, some improvements to each are needed. [REDACTED] arranged to see all units at a later date in view of impending housing changes. He stated he thought Station should reinstate project for limited number new units. These undoubtedly need for larger families. In closing discussion, I advised FE Engineer Office is available in connection with possible new construction. [REDACTED] asked that keep sketches and notes; that Station may desire some assistance also in connection with improvements to existing units described above.

25X1A

25X1A

[REDACTED]
25X1A

25X1A

Distribution:

- 1 - TDY Report File
- 1 - [REDACTED] Project File
- 1 - [REDACTED] Misc. File